	COURSE TO SEE THE SECOND SECON
1	
2	
3	
5	This was a second of the secon
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	
11	RANDAL N. WIIDEMAN,)
12	Plaintiff,) 3: 10-cv-00186-RCJ-RAM
13	vs.
14	HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	On September 27, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for voluntary dismissal of this action.
18	(Docket #8.) Accordingly, on October 21, 2010, this court entered judgment, granting plaintiff's
19	motion and dismissing this case. (Docket #10.) On October 29, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to
20	reopen this case, stating only that he was mistaken in dismissing it. (Docket #11.) The court finds
21	that plaintiff has failed to show good cause for reopening this case and therefore HEREBY DENIED
22	plaintiff's motion. (Docket #11.)
23	
24	DATED this 29th day of November , 2010.
25	O(1)
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	V